**ENQUIRY REPORT.**

**Subject: 1. REQUEST FOR (1) JUSTICE ON HUMANITARIAN BASIS.**

1. **LEGAL ACTION AGAINST CORRUPT SUPERVISING TAPEDAR SUB-DIVISION MURAD MEMON NAMELY (MUHAMMAD SALEEM BALOCH), WHO MANAGED BOGUS ENTRIES IN THE RECORD OF RIGHTS IN RESPECT OF SURVEY LAND BEARING SURVEY NO. 90 AND 91 OF DEH MALH TAPO DARSANO CHANO MALIR KARACHI.**
2. **LEGAL ACTION AGAINST BENEFICIARIES ALSO PART AND PARCEL OF REVENUE DEPARTMENT NOTORIOUS CORRUPT TAPEDAR MOHAMAD YASEEN MEMON MOHAMMAD YOUNAS MEMON AND AKHTAR HUSSAIN MEMON MADE BOGUS TRANSACTION IN THE NAME OF SABEEN AKHTAR W/O AKHTAR HUSSAIN.**
3. **LEGAL ACTION AGAINST ABDUL WAHID S/O HAJI MUHAMMAD ESSA MADE BOGUS ENTRIES WITH THE COLLUSION OF CORRUPT TAPEDAR SALEEM BALOCH AND MUHAMMAD YASEEN MEMON OF 0-6-0 ANA SHARE IN SURVEY NO. 90 AND 91 OF DEH MALH, TAPO DARSANO CHANNO AND OCCUPIED THE LAND BEING LAND GRABBERS.**

1. PUC is an application along with its enclosures on the subject captioned above received from learned Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Sindh with marginal note **“Please carryout a scrutiny of the record and report any mischief” (Slip-A).** The applicant’s in their applications contended that the S.No. 90 & 91 of Deh Malh owned by their father Moro S/o Yaqoob and whose foti khata was changed in the year 2017 vide entry No.545 dated 15.03.2017 of VF-VII-B and Sale certificates has been issued on 28.04.2017 by Tapedar Mohammad Saleem Baloch & Mohammad Yaseen Baloch of same Survey numbers by bogus transaction whereas, applicant’s father has expired in the year 2011.

2. The Enquiry Committee was re-constituted vide this office order No. D (E&I)(62)/09/2019 dated 06.01.2020 in partial modification order No. D(E&I)(62)/2019/559 dated 19.11.2019 with the following Members to complete the enquiry & submit enquiry report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SR.NO.** | **NAME OF OFFICER/OFFICIAS** | **DESIGNATION.** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1. | Mr. Mansoor Ahmed Ansari | Deputy Director (E&I) |
| 2 | Mr. Bashir Ahmed Abbasi | Assistant Director (E&I) |
| 3 | Mr. Nisar Ahmed Jamali | Mukhtiarkar (E&I) |
| 4. | Munshi Shamshad Ali Jatoi | Supervising Tapedar (E&I) |
| 5. | Munshi Shevo Katchi | Tapedar (E&I) |

3. In this regard, the Mukhtiarkar Sub-Division Murad Memon District Malir Karachi was directed vide this office letter No. D (E&I)(62)/517/2019 dated 12.11.2019 **(Slip-B)** and subsequent Reminder letter No. No. D (E&I)(62)/04/2019 dated 03.01.2020 **(Slip-C)** to produce relevant record in respect of S.No. 90, 91 of Deh Malh and detailed report before this Directorate who has submitted detailed report vide his letter No.Mukh/M.M/Malir/K/968 dated 19.11.2019 which reveals position of entries in respect of subject of S.No. 90 & 91 Deh Malh.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SR.NO.** | **ENTRY NO & DATED** | **SURVEY NO.** | **NAME OF KHATEDAR** | **SHARE** | **REMARKS.** |
| 1 | 2243  Dated 21.04.1999 VF-VII | 90(5-17)  91(4-34)  92(4-33) | 1-Muhammad S/o Yaqoob  2-Allahwarayo S/o Yaqoob  3-Moro S/o Yaqoob  4-Hajiani Hakeem D/o Yaqoob  5-Basran D/o Yaqoob | 0-1-0  0-1-0  0-1-0  0-0-6  0-0-6 | By way of change of fotikhats of deceased Yaqoob S/o Allah Dino Share 0-4-0 |
| 2. | 2245  Dated 21.041999 VF-VII | 90(5-17)  91(4-34)  92(4-33) | 1-Ayesha W/o Allah Warayo  2-Moro S/o Yaqoob  3-Hajiani Hakeem D/o Yaqoob  4-Basran D/o Yaqoob | 0-03  0-0-4 ½  0-0-2 ¼  0-0-2 ¼ | By way of change of fotikhats of deceased Allah Warayo S/o Yaqoob Share 0-1-0 Share 0-4-0 |
| 3. | 545  Dated 15.03.2017 VF-VII | 90(5-17)  91(4-34)  92(4-33) | 1-Yaqoob S/o Moro  2-Amir S/o Moro  3-Rajjib Ali S/o Moro  4-Zeeshan S/o Moro  5- Khadija D/o Moro  6-Naseema D/o Moro  7- Haseena D/o Moro  8-Amnat D/o Moro  9-Shazia D/o Moro |  | By way of change of fotikhats of deceased Moro S/o Yaqoob Share 0-1-0 Share 0-1-0 |
| 4 | 606  Dated 02.11.2017 VF-VII | 90(5-17)  91(4-34) | 1. Mt. sabeen Akhtar Memon w/o Muhammad Akhtar Memon |  | By way purchased from Abdul Rasheed & 18 others through Registered sale Deed vide R.D No. 3508 dated 21.07.2017 of Sub-Registrar Gadap Town. |

**4.** The report further reveals that on the request of Mr. Abdul Rasheed S/o Haji Umer being General Power of Attorney (Regd. No. 1862 dated 11.10.2005) of khatedar Moro S/o Yaqoob & others, NOC for sale bearing No. Mukht.SC/50 dated 28.04.2017 in respect of land measuring 4-01 Acres out of Survey No. 90 and 91 of Deh Malh has been issued. He further submitted that from the perusal of Registered Sale Deed No. 3508 dated 21.07.2017, registered by Sub-Registrar Gadap Town, it appears that name of deceased khatedar Moro S/o Yaqoob shown in the sale deed including other khatedars who had sold out their shares through Mr. Abdul Rasheed S/o Haji Umer being general Power of Attorney (Regd. No. 1862 dated 11.10.2005, in favour of Mt. Sabeen Akhtar Memon W/o Muhammad Akhtar Memon, but due to mistake the name of Khatedar Moro S/o Yaqoob is not mentioned in entry No. 606 dated 02.11.2017. The land in question is under the possession of Khatedar Mt. Sabeen Akhtar Memon W/o Muhammad Akhtar Memon with covered by Boundary Wall with built in houses.

5. He further stated in his report that Mrs. Sabeen Akhtar W/o Muhammad Akhtar Memon filed Civil Suit No. 741/2019 V/s deceased Moro S/o Yaqoob through his legal heirs before the Court of 1st Senior Civil Judge Malir Karachi and the Honorable Court issued status quo order dated 24.08.2019.

6. **OBSERVATIONS**.

1. Foti khata of deceased Moro S/o Yaqoob was already made by the following officers vide entry No. 545 dated 15.03.2017 of VF-VII-B in respect of his share 0-1-0 Anna out of S.No. 90 & 91 Deh Malh which derived / devolved from the entry No. 2243 dated 21.04.1999 of VF-VII-B.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SR.NO.** | **NAME OF OFFICER / OFFICIAL** | **DESIGNATION** |
| 1. | Mr. Asadullah Abassi | Assistant Commissioner Sub-Division Murad Memon District Malir. |
| 2. | Hussain Ali Hakro | Mukhtiarkar Sub-Division Murad Memon District Mali. |
| 3. | Mohammad Saleem Baloch | Supervising Tapedar Deh Malh Sub-Division Murad Memon District Mali |

But despite the above fact, the above Officers / officials issued sale certificate of deceased khatedar Moro S/o Yaqoob of the said property bearing sale certificate page No.AB012698 Book No. 0127 vide out word No. Mukht:/SC/50 dated 28.04.2017 on the basis of Registered power of Attorney Abdul Rasheed S/o Haji Mohammad Umer bearing No. 1862 dated 11.10.2005.

1. Abdul Rasheed General Power of Attorney of Khatedar Moro & others despite knowledge that Moro is not alive applied and got sale certificate in favour of deceased Moro.

7. **FINDINGS.**

1. M/s Asadullah Abassi Assistant Commissioner Sub-Division Murad Memon District Malir, Hussain Ali Hakro Mukhtiarkar Sub-Division Murad Memon District Malir and Mohammad Saleem Baloch Supervising Tapedar Deh Malh Sub-Division Murad Memon District Malir are responsible for issuance of Sale Certificate of deceased khatedar Moro with malafide intention to give undue benefit to Abdul Rasheed General Power of Attorney, as they all were in knowledge that the Moro is not alive as his foti khata was already made vide entry No. 545 dated 15.03.2017 of VF-VII-B by these Officers / Officials themselves.
2. Abdul Rasheed who is General Power of Attorney of deceased khatedar Moro & others is also liable for legal action as he despite knowledge that Moro is not alive applied for issuance of sale certificate of deceased Moro and got it issued.

8. **PROPOSAL / SUGGESTION.**

1. The officers / Officials as mentioned above at Para 6(i) are liable for disciplinary action.
2. Mr. Abdul Rasheed General Power of Attorney of deceased khatedar Moro & others is also liable for legal action under the relevant provision of law.



**DIRECTORATE(E&I),BOARD OF REVENUE SINDH**

***Ground Floor, Shahbaz Building Block “D” Hyderabad Ph: 9201117-1304***

No. D (E&I)(50) / 2019

Hyderabad Dated -03-2020

To,

The Additional Secretary (Revenue)

Board of Revenue, Sindh

Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT: CASE NO.SROR-52 OF 2012 FILED BY MOHAMMAD HASHIM S/O KANDERO KHOSO R/O DEH MAJJAN VILLAGE RAHEEM KHAN TALUKA MEHAR DISTRICT DADU & OTHERS V/S KHALID HUSSAIN S/O MOHAMMAD RAJJAB NOONARI, R/O VILLAGE THARIRI MUHABAT TALUKA MEHAR DISTRICT DADU.**

Reference: Your office letter No.Reader/SMBR/FB/SROR-52/2020/415 dated 09.10.2019 on the subject matter.

1. The perusal of the subject matter file reveals that the applicant Khalid Hussain Noonari had made an application **(Annexure-A)** to Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Sindh on dated 17.12.2006 stating therein that S.No. 337, 326, 314, 83, 70, 335, 358, 364, 362, 303 and others area 200-00 Acreas are his property but his opponents Juman S/o Ayoub Tunio & others have got khata changed fraudulently with collusion of Revenue Staff and he requested for restoration of his khata.

2. In this regard, the Project Director (SGA) / Chairman Inspection Team Record of Rights Board of Revenue, Sindh after detailed scrutiny of Relevant Record of Rights has sent letter No.DD(E&I)/387 dated 06.05.2006 **(Annexure-B)**  to defunct Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu for taking Suo-Moto action u/s 164 of the Sindh land Revenue, Act 1967 in respect of S.No.70,83,303,314,326.335,337,358,362,364 of Deh Manjan & S.No. 417 of Deh Thariri Muhabat, with the remarks in concluding para of the said letter that there is great presumption of fraud & manipulation in the above survey numbers but the chain of ownership cannot be connected with the relation of complainant until and unless original complete record of rights of above two Dehs is produced.

3. Accordingly, the Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu has passed order No. Reader/EDO/Rev/466 dated 27.09.2006 **(Annexure-C).** The following comparative position of S.Nos mentioned in letter No.DD(E&I)/387 dated 06.05.2006 of Chairman Inspection Team of Board of Revenue, Sindh and position of S.Nos mentioned in letter No.Reader /EDO/Rev/466 dated 27.09.2006 of Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu reveals that the S.No. 70,303,314,326, 335 of Deh Manjan have not been shown by the Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu in his order No. Reader/EDO/Rev/466 dated 27.09.2006, and also other survey Nos have been included.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Survey Nos. mentioned in letter No. DD(E&I)/387 dated 06.05.2006 from Project Director (SGA)/ Chairman Inspection Team Record of Rights Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad sent to Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu for taking Suo-Moro Action.** | **Survey Nos mentioned in order No. Reader /EDO/Rev/466 dated 27.09.2006 passed by Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu.** |
| 70,83,303,314,326.335,337,358,362,364 of Deh Manjan & S.No. 417 of Deh Thariri Muhabat | 271, 242, 219, 223, 224, 231, 239, 319, 229, 382, 384, 55, 59, 60, 315,318, 337, 355, 358 361, 362, 363, 364, 366, 379, 350, 354, 352, 372 of Deh Manjan & S.No. 417 of Deh Thariri Muhabat. |

5. Besides, the defunct Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu has also passed another order No.Reader/EDO/Rev/568 dated 29.11.2006 in respect of Survey No. 270, 271, 242, 62, 219, 223, 224, 231, 239, 319, 229, 382, 383, 384, 55, 59, 60, 83, 315, 318, 337, 344, 48, 355, 358, 361, 362, 363, 364, 366, 379, 350, 354, 352, 370, 371, 372, 378 of Deh Manjan & S.No. 417 of Deh Thariri Muhabat on the basis of Revision Application filed by Khalid Hussain S/o Mohammad Rajib Noonari. Perusal of this order dated 29.11.2006 shows that the Survey Nos. 270, 62, 383, 344, 48, 370, 371, & 378 of Deh Manjan are also have been included which were not mentioned in earlier order No.Redear/EDO/Rev/466 dated 27.09.2006.

6. The Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mehar has kept entry No. 545 dated 11.12.2006 of VF-VII-B Deh Manjan **(Annexure-D)** in compliance of order No. Reader/EDO/Rev/568 dated 21.11.2006 passed by EDO (Revenue) Dadu on the basis of revision application filed by Khalid Hussain S/o Muhammad Rajib Noonari. The comparative positions regarding S.Nos. shown / mentioned in the above order of EDO (Revenue) Dadu as well as entry No. 545 dated 11.12.2006 of VF-VII-B is as under:-

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Details of S.Nos mentioned in order No. Reader/EDO/Rev/568 dated 21.11.2006 of defunct Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu.** | **Details of S.Nos. mentioned in entry No. 545 dated 11.12.2006 of VF-VII-B kept by Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mehar in compliance of order dated 21.11.2006.** |
| Survey No. 270, 271, 242, 62, 219, 223, 224, 231, 239, 319, 229, 382, 383, 384, 55, 59, 60, 83, 315, 318, 337, 344, 48, 355, 358, 361, 362, 363, 364, 366, 379, 350, 354, 352, 370, 371, 372, 378 of Deh Manjan & S.No. 417 of Deh Thariri Muhabat | 55,59,60,83,219/1,223,224,229,231,239,242,262,270,271,315,318,319/1,344,348,350, 352,354,355/1,361,363/1,2, 366/1,2, 370, 372, 378, 379, 382, 383, 384 of Deh Manjan. |

7. From the above comparative perusal of S.Nos. mentioned / shown in the order No. Reader/EDO/Rev/568 dated 21.11.2006 of defunct Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu and in such compliance of said order the Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mehar had kept entry No. 545 dated 11.12.2006 of VF-VII-B mentioning the S.Nos which reveals that the Mukhtiakar Taluka Mehar in the said entry has shown two S.Nos. 262 and 348 as extra S.Nos. As such these survey Nos were not cancelled by the EDO (Revenue) Dadu in his order.

8. This is fact that the Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu in his first order No. Reader/EDO/ Rev-/466 dated 27.09.2006 has not shown the same survey Nos which were highlighted by the Project Director (SGA) /Chairman Inspection Team Record of Rights Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad in his letter No. DD(E&I)/387 dated 06.05.2006. But the compliance of this order does not seems implemented.

9. This is also fact that subsequently, Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu passed an other order No.Reader/EDO/Rev/568 dated 29.11.2006 on the basis of Revision application of Applicant Khalid Hussain Noonari. In this order he had included all Survey Nos which were mentioned in first order No. Reader/EDO/ Rev-/466 dated 27.09.2006 and subsequent order dated No.Reader/EDO/Rev/568 dated 29.11.2006. Besides S.No 270, 62, 38, 344, 48, 370, 371, & 378 Deh Manjan have been extra included.

10. It is supposed that the Executive District Officer (Revenue) Dadu might have included many other survey Nos in both the supra mentioned orders as per his discretionary powers during Suo-Moto proceedings of the above matter by exercising his jurisdiction u/s 164 Sindh land Revenue Act.

11. It is also mentioned that hearing of the contention of both the parties is domain of the full Board. The above detailed is sent herewith as desired.

**Director (E&I)**

Board of Revenue, Sindh

Hyderabad.

To,

The Assistant Secretary

Board of Revenue, Sindh

Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT: SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REPORT.**

It is submitted that the undersigned was directed by your good office vide letter No. 20-13-2019-Estt-III/57 dated 02.01.2019 to submit missing Annual Confidential Report for the year-2017 & 2018.

In this regard, the undersigned has obtained his Annual Confidential Reports, from Reporting Officers for the period viz, o viz 01.01.2017 to 14.11.2017 as the undersigned was posted as Assistant Mukhtiarkar (BPS-14) Taluka Ratodero for the period mentioned above. Then the undersigned was promoted to the post of Mukhtiarkar (BPS-16) and posted at Taluka Qubo Saeed khan for which the Annual Confidential Report cannot be submitted as the period was only one month & 15 days in the year 2017.

It is further submitted that the undersigned remained posted at different Stations / Taluka in the year 2018, in which the undersigned only remained posted as Mukhtiarkar Taluka Kamber, District Kamber Shahdadkot @ Kamber for continues period of 04 Months and 24 days dated 17.02.2018 to 11.07.2018.

Hence, undersigned is submitting the ACRs (in original) for the period mentioned above and the certificates for the serving period of postings which constitute less than three months.

**(ABDUL QAYOOM TUNIO)**

**MUKHTIARKAR (Awaiting for posting)**

**Board of Revenue Sindh,**

**Hyderabad.**

**C E R T I F I C A T E**

This is to certify that the undersigned was awaiting for posting in the Board of Revenue, Sindh during the period with effect from 01.01.2019 to 17.07.2019. Hence, the Annual Confidential Report (ACR) for such period does not accrue as per law. Therefore, the certificate is hereby submitted accordingly.

**(NISAR AHMED JAMALI)**

Mukhtiarkar (Revenue)

Board of Revenue, Sindh

Hyderabad.



**DIRECTORATE(E&I),BOARD OF REVENUE SINDH**

***Ground Floor, Shahbaz Building Block “D” Hyderabad Ph: 9201117-1304***

**SUBMITTED**

**SUBJECT: COMPLAINT NO. 39/2011 OF ACE THATTA GAINST MUHAMMAD SOOMAR SHEEDI THE THEN MUKHTIARKAR NOW DEPUTY DEO SECONDARY (BPS-18) & OTHERS.**

**1.** The brief facts of the case are that Complainant Jan Mohammad made an application to the Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Sindh Karachi received through learned Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad vide Diary No. 378 dated 17.01.2019 for conducting enquiry into the subject matter. **(Annexure-A).** Thecomplainant Jan Mohammad has contended in his application that he purchased the S.No. 530 of Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro Tapo Jhirik from the Pinan S/o Saleh Shoro in the year 1982 and he is possession holder of the land in question and later on he gifted the same land to Waleedad S/o Kareem Bux who obtained a loan from ZTBL and after redemption the land from the Bank authorities, he again gifted the same land to Umed Ali S/o Kareem Bux who also obtained loan from Bank and still is in mortgaged, but now Supervising Tapedar Noor Mohammmad Khatti has changed his khata in the name of other khatedars illegally **(Annexure-B).**

2. Accordingly, the Deputy Commissioner Sujawal was requested to direct the Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro to produce relevant record in respect S.No. 530 of Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro along with detailed report vide this office letter No. D (E&I)Compl: Cell(99)/2019/287 dated 30.08.2019 **(Annexure-C).**

**3.** The Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro has reported in respect of S.No. 286, 293 & 530 of Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro vide his letter No. SC/55 dated 28.01.2020 **(Annexure-D)** which reveals that the old record of S.No. 286, 293, 530 and other Deh Fateh Muhammad Abro was torn out and crumbled due to low quality of papers of VF-VII-B, Deh Fateh Muhammad Abro. Due to un-acquaintance of Revenue officials and officer, TO Form in the name of Pinan S/o Saleh Shoro Showing S.No. 286, 293, 530 was re-entered in Record of Rights vide entry No. 486 dated 18.09.2000. Thereafter, S.Nos. 293, 530 of Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro was transferred in favour of Noor Muhammad S/o Suleman Shoro, Share 0-83 ½ Paisa, shared area 10-00 acres vide entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B.

4. He further reported that the Complainant Jan Muhammad Rind filed appeal u/s 161(1) Land Revenue Act 1967 against entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B before the defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) Mirpur Bathoro. Who passed order No. DDO (Rev)-1429 dated 24.12.2009. Perusal of order shown that defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) Mirpur Bathoro has not clear cut ordered for keeping new entry of S.No. 530 in the name of complainant Jan Muhammad Rind. The Defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) only ordered to delete S.No. 530 from the entry No. 486 dated 18.09.2000, and subsequent entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B Deh Fateh Muhammad Abro. The perusal of entry No. 486 and 839 shows that there are notes posted regarding deletion of S.No. 530 and site visit shows that S.No. 530 is under cultivation possession with complainant Jan Mohammad Rind.

5. He also reported that the complainant also filed complaint No. OS/-3088/TTA/29/2018 before Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta. The Assistant Commissioner Sujawal sent a detailed report vide letter No. AC/-94 dated 20.05.2019 to Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh Thatta. This complaint is still pending at Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.

**OBSERVATION**

1. As per report of Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro Complainant Jan Mohammad Rind filed appeal under section 161/1, of Sindh Land Revenue Act against the entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 before the defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) Mirpur Bathoro who passed order No. DDO (Rev)/1429 dated 24.12.2009 which reveals that he has not clear ordered for keeping new entry of S.No. 530 in the name of complainant Jan Muhammad Rind he ordered only to delete the S.No. 530 from the entry No. 486 dated 18.09.2000 and subsequent entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro. As per report of Mukhtiarkar taluka Mirpur Bathoro entry No. 486 & 839 shown that there are notes posting regarding deletion of S.No. 530.
2. As per report of Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro Complainant filed complaint No. OS/-3088/TTA/29/2018 before Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta. This complaint is still pending at Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.

**FINDINGS**

1. The matter of land in question is already subjudice before the Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta under complaint No. OS/-3088/TTA/29/2018 filed by Complainant Jan Mohammad Rind which is still pending at Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.
2. If kind Director (E&I) is pleased to agree, the application of applicant may be filed and the applicant may be directed to persue his case before the Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.

Assistant (E&I)

1. 11. Office Superintendent (E&I)

\

1. Mukhtiarkar (E&I)

13 Assistant Director (E&I)

14. Deputy Director (E&I)

**SUBMITTED.**

**SUBJECT: COMPLAINT NO. 07/2014 OF ACE HYDERABAD AGAINST OFFICIALS OF REVENUE TALUKA QASIMABAD AND OTHERS.**

1. PUC is an application made by Loung Khan addressed to Deputy Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Hyderabad received through Additional Secretary, Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad vide Diary No. 84 dated 15.01.2019 along with letter No. ACH/2018/R/46-417 dated 03.01.2019 of Deputy Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Hyderabad for conducting enquiry and report on the subject matter. The application of the applicant is not readable.

2. If kind Director (E&I) is pleased to agree, the Deputy Director Anti-Corruption Hyderabad may be requested to provide the copy of readable application of the applicant Loung Khan, so that the enquiry could be processed.

Assistant (E&I)

3. Office Superintendent (E&I)

4. Mukhtiarkar (E&I)

5. Assistant Director (E&I)

6. Deputy Director (E&I)



**DIRECTORATE(E&I),BOARD OF REVENUE SINDH**

***Ground Floor, Shahbaz Building Block “D” Hyderabad Ph: 9201117-1304***

**SUBMITTED**

**SUBJECT: COMPLAINT NO. GO-194/2012 OF ACE NAUSHAHRO FEROZE AGAINST ANEES AHMED DASTI THEN DDO REVENUE KANDIARO AND OTHERS.**

**1.** The brief facts of the case are that Complainant Muhammad Yaseen S/o Mohammad Daoud Kalhoro made an application to the Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Sindh Karachi received through learned Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad vide Diary No. 477 dated 23.01.2019 for conducting enquiry into the subject matter. **(Annexure-A).** Thecomplainant Mohammad Yaseen S/o Mohammad Daoud Kalhoro has contended in his application that his father was owner of land bearing S.No. 88/1 to 88/3 **(Annexure-B).**

2. Accordingly, the Deputy Commissioner Sujawal was requested to direct the Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro to produce relevant record in respect S.No. 530 of Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro along with detailed report vide this office letter No. D (E&I)Compl: Cell(99)/2019/287 dated 30.08.2019 **(Annexure-C).**

**3.** The Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro has reported in respect of S.No. 286, 293 & 530 of Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro vide his letter No. SC/55 dated 28.01.2020 **(Annexure-D)** which reveals that the old record of S.No. 286, 293, 530 and other Deh Fateh Muhammad Abro was torn out and crumbled due to low quality of papers of VF-VII-B, Deh Fateh Muhammad Abro. Due to un-acquaintance of Revenue officials and officer, TO Form in the name of Pinan S/o Saleh Shoro Showing S.No. 286, 293, 530 was re-entered in Record of Rights vide entry No. 486 dated 18.09.2000. Thereafter, S.Nos. 293, 530 of Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro was transferred in favour of Noor Muhammad S/o Suleman Shoro, Share 0-83 ½ Paisa, shared area 10-00 acres vide entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B.

4. He further reported that the Complainant Jan Muhammad Rind filed appeal u/s 161(1) Land Revenue Act 1967 against entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B before the defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) Mirpur Bathoro. Who passed order No. DDO (Rev)-1429 dated 24.12.2009. Perusal of order shown that defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) Mirpur Bathoro has not clear cut ordered for keeping new entry of S.No. 530 in the name of complainant Jan Muhammad Rind. The Defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) only ordered to delete S.No. 530 from the entry No. 486 dated 18.09.2000, and subsequent entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B Deh Fateh Muhammad Abro. The perusal of entry No. 486 and 839 shows that there are notes posted regarding deletion of S.No. 530 and site visit shows that S.No. 530 is under cultivation possession with complainant Jan Mohammad Rind.

5. He also reported that the complainant also filed complaint No. OS/-3088/TTA/29/2018 before Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta. The Assistant Commissioner Sujawal sent a detailed report vide letter No. AC/-94 dated 20.05.2019 to Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh Thatta. This complaint is still pending at Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.

**OBSERVATION**

1. As per report of Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro Complainant Jan Mohammad Rind filed appeal under section 161/1, of Sindh Land Revenue Act against the entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 before the defunct Deputy District Officer (Revenue) Mirpur Bathoro who passed order No. DDO (Rev)/1429 dated 24.12.2009 which reveals that he has not clear ordered for keeping new entry of S.No. 530 in the name of complainant Jan Muhammad Rind he ordered only to delete the S.No. 530 from the entry No. 486 dated 18.09.2000 and subsequent entry No. 839 dated 05.09.2009 of VF-VII-B Deh Fateh Mohammad Abro. As per report of Mukhtiarkar taluka Mirpur Bathoro entry No. 486 & 839 shown that there are notes posting regarding deletion of S.No. 530.
2. As per report of Mukhtiarkar Taluka Mirpur Bathoro Complainant filed complaint No. OS/-3088/TTA/29/2018 before Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta. This complaint is still pending at Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.

**FINDINGS**

1. The matter of land in question is already subjudice before the Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta under complaint No. OS/-3088/TTA/29/2018 filed by Complainant Jan Mohammad Rind which is still pending at Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.
2. If kind Director (E&I) is pleased to agree, the application of applicant may be filed and the applicant may be directed to persue his case before the Regional Director Provincial Ombudsmen (Mohtasib) Sindh at Thatta.
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**SUBMITTED.**

**SUBJECT: COMPLAINT # 625/2006 OF ACE HYDERABAD DISTRICT AGAINST GHULAM QADIR JUNEJO THE THEN MUKHTIARKAR MATIARI NOW DDO REVENUE & OTHERS.**

1. PUC is a letter No. 39-HD/2006/4070-72 dated 05.03.2019 of Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Karachi addressed to learned Senior Member, Board of Revenue Sindh, which received to this Directorate (E&I) Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad vide Diary No. 1547 dated 08.03.2019 for enquiry and report on the subject matter. The application of the applicant is not enclosed.

2. If kind Director (E&I) is pleased to agree, the Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Karachi may be requested to provide the copy of application of the applicant, so that the enquiry could be processed.
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**SUBMITTED.**

**SUBJECT: COMPLAINT No. ngo-05/2015 of ace sanghar against Muhammad ali, clerk office of the mukhtiarkar estate sanghar and others.**

1. PUC is a letter No. 39-HD/2006/4070-72 dated 05.03.2019 of Deputy Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Shaheed Benazirabad Zone addressed to learned Senior Member, Board of Revenue Sindh, which received to this Directorate (E&I) Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad vide Diary No. 1376 dated 04.02.2019 for enquiry and report on the subject matter. The application of the applicant is not enclosed.

2. If kind Director (E&I) is pleased to agree, the Director Anti-Corruption Establishment Karachi may be requested to provide the copy of application of the applicant, so that the enquiry could be processed.
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**SUBMITTED.**

**SUBJECT: COMPLAINT No GO-06/2018 of ace DADU against OFFICIALS OF REVENUE DEPARTMENT TALUKA MAHAR & OTHERS.**

1. PUC is a letter No. 6-Comp(D)2018-5254-56 Dated.3-4-2019 from Additional Director (legal) for Director Anticorruption Establishment Karachi Received by Learned Senior Member Board of Revenue Sindh, vide dairy No.2473 dated 22.04.2019 along with its enclosures requesting therein to conduct enquiry into the matther. The perusal of file it reveals that the Assistant Director / circle officer Anti-corruption Establishment Dadu reported to Deputy Director Anticorruption Establishment Jamshoro Zone that the matter is of civil nature may kindly be placed before ACC-I Sindh Karachi seekin permission to refer the matter to Deputy Commissioner Dadu for talking necessary action at his end.

2. The kind Director (E&I) Boar of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad If agreed the matter may kindly be referred to Deputy Commissioner Dadu for talking further necessary action at his level and send such report to this directorate within one month.

3. As the appointment/complainant already filed civil suit No in the
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**ENQUIRY REPORT.**

**SUBJECT:** **ENQUIRY IN TO THE COMPLAINT NO. NGO-05/2015 OF ACE SANGHAR AGAINST MOHAMMAD ALI CLERK OFFICE OF THE MUKHTIARKAR ESTATE SANGHAR & OTHERS FOR ILLEGAL TRANSFER OF LAND IN TALUKA KHIPRO DISTRICT SANGHAR.**

1. The subject enquiry was received from Deputy Director Anticorruption Establishment Shaheed Benazir Abad for taking departmental action into the matter, through Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Sindh vide Diary No. 1376 dated 04.02.2019 **(Slip-A).**

2. The contention of the applicant in his application is that complainant Mr. Ishaque S/o Jan Muhammad Junejo moved the complaint to the Anticorruption Authority and other higher authority regarding the illegal allotment / transfer and manipulation of the record of land in Taluka Khipro **(Slip-B)**. The Anticorruption Authority held up the enquiry and same matter placed before the ACC-II Shaheed Benazir Abad held on 23.01.2019 wherein it was decided that the matter may be referred to Revenue Department for taking further necessary action as per law.

3. Accordingly the Director (E&I) appointed Enquiry Officer Mr. Nisar Ahmed Jamali Mukhtiarkar (E&I), Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad to conduct enquiry into the matter vide this office order No. D (E&I)Compl:Cell(149)2019/36 dated 09.01.2020 **(Slip-C)** & submit enquiry report.

4. The contention of the complainant seems of general nature mentioning no details of any survey number, name of Deh or location of land, hence it is presumed that applicant / complainant is trying to pressurize the Government officials for malafide intention **/**

5. This office in order to ascertain the facts called the applicant in person vide this office letter No.D(E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/291 dated 30.08.2019, No.D(E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/349 dated 26.09.2019 and No.D (E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/433 dated 21.10.2019 and subsequent Reminder No. No.D (E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/139 dated 19.02.2020 **(Slip-D,E,F,G)** who appeared before this office on dated 27.02.2020 and recorded his statement in writing that he filed the case before the Honorable High Court of Sindh & concerned Civil Court, therefore he is not willing to proceed the instant complaint under enquiry, hence he desired to withdraw from his application **(Slip-H).**

**FINDINGS.**

6. From the perusal of statement & papers received from ACC-II Shaheed Benazirabad nothing has been proved on record and on the other hand the applicant / complainant has also withdrawn his complaint, therefore, it is recommended that the instant enquiry may be filed being the matter is subjudice in Court of law as per statement of the Complainant.

**SUGGESTION / PROPOSAL**

7. The application of the applicant warranted no further action / enquiry being withdrawn, hence same may be submitted to the Competent Authority for further order as deemed appropriate.

Nisar Ahmed Jamali

Mukhtiarkar (E&I)

Board of Revenue Sindh

Hyderabad.

**SUBMITTED.**

**SUBJECT:** **ENQUIRY IN TO THE COMPLAINT NO. NGO-05/2015 OF ACE SANGHAR AGAINST MOHAMMAD ALI CLERK OFFICE OF THE MUKHTIARKAR ESTATE SANGHAR & OTHERS FOR ILLEGAL TRANSFER OF LAND IN TALUKA KHIPRO DISTRICT SANGHAR.**

1. The subject enquiry was received from Deputy Director Anticorruption Establishment Shaheed Benazir Abad for taking departmental action into the matter, through Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Sindh vide Diary No. 1376 dated 04.02.2019 **(Slip-A).**

2. The contention of the applicant in his application is that complainant Mr. Ishaque S/o Jan Muhammad Junejo moved the complaint to the Anticorruption Authority and other higher authority regarding the illegal allotment / transfer and manipulation of the record of land in Taluka Khipro. The Anticorruption Authority held up the enquiry and same matter placed before the ACC-II Shaheed Benazir Abad held on 23.01.2019 wherein it was decided that the matter may be referred to Revenue Department for taking further necessary action as per law.

3. Accordingly the Director (E&I) appointed Enquiry Officer Mr. Nisar Ahmed Jamali Mukhtiarkar (E&I), Board of Revenue, Sindh Hyderabad to conduct enquiry into the matter vide this office order No. D (E&I)Compl:Cell(149)2019/36 dated 09.01.2020 **(Slip-B)** & submit enquiry report.

4. The contention of the complainant seems of general nature mentioning no details of any survey number, name of Deh or location of land, hence it is presumed that applicant / complainant is trying to pressurize the Government officials for malafide intention.

5. This office in order to ascertain the facts called the applicant in person vide this office letter No.D(E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/291 dated 30.08.2019, No.D(E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/349 dated 26.09.2019 and No.D (E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/433 dated 21.10.2019 and subsequent Reminder No. No.D (E&I)Compl.Cell(149)/2019/139 dated 19.02.2020 **(Slip-C,D,E,F)** who appeared before this office on dated 27.02.2020 and recorded his statement in writing that he filed the case before the Honorable High Court of Sindh & concerned Civil Court, therefore he is not willing to proceed the instant complaint under enquiry, hence he desired to withdraw from his application **(Slip-G).**

**FINDINGS.**

6. From the perusal of statement & papers received from ACC-II Shaheed Benazirabad nothing has been proved on record and on the other hand the applicant / complainant has also withdrawn his complaint, therefore, it is recommended that the instant enquiry may be filed being the matter is subjudice in Court of law as per statement of the Complainant.

**SUGGESTION / PROPOSAL**

7. The kind Director (E&I) if agreed the enquiry report furnished by the enquiry officer may be submitted to the Competent Authority for further orders as deemed appropriate.
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